# Supplemental Information for the Marian's Marsh Wren Biological Status Review Report



The following pages contain peer reviews received from selected peer reviewers, comments received during the public comment period, and the draft report that was reviewed before the final report was completed

# **Table of Contents**

Peer review #1 from Tylan Dean	3
Peer review #2 from Paul Sykes	
Peer review #3 from Sally Jue	
Peer review #4 from Don Kroodsman	
Peer review #5 from Craig Parenteau	
Copy of the Marian's Marsh Wren BSR draft report that was sent out for peer	
review	11

#### Peer review #1 from Tylan Dean

From: Tylan\_Dean@fws.gov

To: Imperiled

Cc: Delany, Michael

**Subject:** Re: FW: Marian"s marsh wren BSR report **Date:** Thursday, January 27, 2011 10:38:25 PM

Attachments: 20110126 Dean Peer Review of Draft Worthington's Marsh Wren Biological

Status Review.docx

20110126 Dean Peer Review of Draft Marian"s Marsh Wren Biological Status Review.docx

Here are both of my brief reviews. Please contact me with any questions.

(See attached file: 20110126 Dean Peer Review of Draft Worthington's Marsh Wren Biological Status Review.docx)(See attached file: 20110126 Dean Peer Review of Draft Marian's Marsh Wren Biological Status Review.docx)

Tylan Dean
Assistant Supervisor
Endangered Species & Conservation Planning Assistance
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Virginia Field Office
6669 Short Lane
Gloucester, Virginia 23061

Peer Review of Draft FWC Biological Status Review for the Marian's Marsh Wren (Cistothorus palustris marianae)

Reviewer: Tylan Dean

Thank you for the opportunity to review this document.

It appears to consider the appropriate (though limited) information, and the information considered appears to support the need for protection. I recommend explicitly identifying that it is proposed as a regional population, and describing why immigration into the population is not expected. The number of sites where the species occurs was also not clearly expressed. In the table, it is stated that < 10 populations occur, based on the 2003 Breeding Bird Survey, but the information on number of sites is not clear. Recent presence on 5 transects in two counties was also noted in the text, but these weren't cited in the text. I recommend clearly summarizing the available information on delineation of populations/sites.

Editorial note: in the section titled: "Geographic Distribution and Range," the range is referenced as both Florida and AL, and as extending westward through Texas. This aspect of the range should be clarified.

#### Peer review #2 from Paul Sykes

From: Paul Sykes To: Imperiled

**Subject:** Re: Worthington's marsh wren Draft BSR Report

**Date:** Thursday, December 02, 2010 3:25:20 PM

Dear Elsa:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the two marsh wren BSR drafts. I found them to be interesting and informative. The BSRs for the Worthington's and Marian's Marsh Wrens are perfectly adequate for their intended purpose despite the fact relatively little is known of these taxons. I find the accounts "clean", well written and organized, and are accurate to the best of my knowledge. The literate for each taxon is well covered. In my opinion, the three authors did a very good job in drafting these accounts and I have nothing further to offer to improve what is presented.

Paul W. Sykes, Jr.

#### Peer review #3 from Sally Jue

To: Mike Delany From: Sally S. Jue Date: 9 January 2011

Re: Peer review of the Biological Status Review for the Marian's Marsh Wren (Cistothorus palustris

marianae)

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the peer review process for the State's Biological Status Review (BSR) for the two marsh wren subspecies. I have carefully reviewed the BSR for Marian's marsh wren and concur with the findings and recommendation of the Biological Review Group (BRG) to list this taxon as Threatened.

All available biological information and data, although limited in quantity, have been accurately assessed. The BRG members did a systematic review and step-wise analysis of the available information relative to each of the listing criteria. Their assumptions and interpretations are backed up with references from the literature, and their resulting conclusions are valid. Its restricted geographic range, coupled with declining quality and multiple threats to the salt marsh habitat on which it depends, make monitoring studies of the Marian's marsh wren essential to understanding this taxon's population status and trends.

# Biological Status Review for the Marian's Marsh Wren (Cistothorus palustris marianae)

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) directed staff to evaluate all species listed as Threatened or Species of Special Concern as of September 1, 2010. Public information on the status of the Marian's marsh wren was sought from September 17 to November 1, 2010. The three-member Biological Review Group met on November 3 – 4, 2010. Group members were Michael F. Delany (FWC lead), Katy NeSmith (Zoologist with the Florida Natural Areas Inventory), and Bill Pranty (Avian Ecologist Contractor). In accordance with rule 68A-27.0012 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the Biological Review Group (BRG) was charged with evaluating the biological status of the Marian's marsh wren using criteria included in definitions in 68A-27.001(3) and following the protocols in the *Guidelines for Application of the IUCN Red List Criteria at Regional Levels (Version 3.0)* and *Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Criteria (Version 8.1)*. Please visit <a href="http://myfwc.com/WILDLIFEHABITATS/imperiledSpp\_listingprocess.htm">http://myfwc.com/WILDLIFEHABITATS/imperiledSpp\_listingprocess.htm</a> to view the listing

process rule and the criteria found in the definitions.

The Biological Review Group concluded from the biological assessment that the Marian's marsh wren met criteria for listing and recommends listing the species as state threatened.

This work was supported by a Conserve Wildlife Tag grant from the Wildlife Foundation of Florida.

#### **BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

**Life History References** – Wheeler (1931), Welter (1935), Kale (1965, 1975), Barclay and Leonard (1985), Stevenson and Anderson (1994), Kroodsma and Verner (1997).

**Taxonomic Classification** – Marsh wrens (*Cistothorus palustris*) are in the Order Passeriformes assigned to the Family Troglodytidae (Wrens). About 14 subspecies are recognized. Subspecies designation is complex, being based on plumage, wing length, and geographic lines. Two distinct evolutionary groups, eastern and western, may warrant species status (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). Two subspecies, the Marian's marsh wren (*C. p. marianae*) and the Worthington's marsh wren (*C. p. griseus*), breed in Florida. The Marian's marsh wren was first described in 1888 (Scott 1888) as being darker with more olive color than brown, and having more barring on its plumage than other marsh wrens. Wheeler (1931) describes the taxonomic history and early distribution of marsh wrens in the Southeast.

**Population Status and Trend** – Difficulty in conducting surveys in relatively inaccessible salt marsh has limited monitoring, and historic information on abundance is sparse. Kale (1996) estimated 2,000-3,000 breeding pairs of Marian's marsh wrens between Port Richey and Apalachee Bay. Distribution is sparse and little is known about the abundance of wrens west of Apalachee Bay. More recently, Marian's marsh wrens (5-18, range of averages from 3 repeated measures) were detected at each of 5 transects between Dixie County (29.71365 -83.49502) and Franklin County (29.68065, -85.08717) in 2010 (FWC, unpublished data). The FWC list of species of greatest conservation need (FWC 2005) ranks the abundance status of the Marian's marsh wren as "low" with an "unknown" population trend. Although results are based on only 7 routes and may be imprecise for trend estimates, information from the North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS 2010) indicate a -9.5 percent annual decline in the abundance of marsh wrens in the Southeastern coastal plain from 1966-2006. Marian's marsh wrens are "abundant" in the coastal marshes of Alabama (Stevenson 1978). The Florida Natural Areas Inventory ranks the Marian's marsh wren as rare and restricted in distribution globally and in Florida (G5T3/S3). The International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN 2009) ranks the global status of marsh wrens as a species of Least Concern. An array of point count stations (see Ralph et al. 1995) should be established within the range of the Marian's marsh wren and surveys conducted at 5-year intervals to monitor trends in abundance.

Geographic Range and Distribution – Marsh wrens breed in brackish and freshwater marshes of North America from the western and northern continental United States and southern Canada; along the Atlantic coast from Delaware to northern Florida; and along the Gulf coast from mid-peninsula Florida to southern Texas and into Mexico (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). The Marian's marsh wren breeds along the Gulf coast of Florida from Port Richey (Pasco County) to Escambia Bay (Santa Rosa County), and west into southwest Alabama (Stevenson and Anderson 1994, Kale 1996). Distribution is sparse along the Florida panhandle west of Apalachee Bay (Wakulla County). Kroodsma and Verner (1997) considered *C. p. thryophilus* synonymous with *C. p. marianae*, extending the range of the Marian's marsh wren to southeastern Texas. Several northern subspecies (*C. p. palustris, C. p. dissaeptus, C. p. waynei*, and *C. p. iliacus*) winter in Florida (Kale 1965, Stevenson and Anderson 1994, but see Phillips 1986). Marian's marsh wrens inhabit tidal marshes dominated by cordgrass (*Spartina* 

alterniflora) and black needle rush (*Juncus roemerianus*) and nest in taller vegetation along tidal creeks. Florida land cover information (Water Management Districts, photography dates 1999-2008) indicates 566.0-701.0 km<sup>2</sup> of salt marsh habitat within the range of the Marian's marsh wren. Cox and Kautz (2000) estimated 372.7 km<sup>2</sup> of existing potential habitat for the subspecies in Florida. The Florida Breeding Bird Atlas (FWC 2003, 1986-1991) documented confirmed breeding in 25 atlas blocks within the wren's current range. The subspecies is resident at breeding locations and is considered non-migratory. The range of the Marian's marsh wren extends into Alabama, with Florida constituting >80 percent of the subspecie's' range.

**Quantitative Analyses** – A population viability analysis has not been conducted on the Florida population of the Marian's marsh wren.

#### **BIOLOGICAL STATUS ASSESSMENT**

Threats – The narrow coastal range of the Marian's marsh wren makes it vulnerable to habitat loss and fragmentation due to dredging and filling in conjunction with coastal development, impoundments for mosquito control and waterfowl, flooding from severe storms and hydrological changes, sea level rise, chemical and oil spills, and disposal of dredged material (Montague and Wiegert 1990, FWC 2005). Development of adjacent uplands also may contribute to habitat degredation. The vulnerability of coastal song birds is exemplified by the rapid decline and extinction of the dusky seaside sparrow (*Ammodramus maritimus nigrescens*) Delany et al. (1981). Climate change is a potential threat at the southern extent of its range where salt marsh habitat may be lost to the invasion of mangroves as the climate warms. However, compared to C. p. griseus, this subspecies appears to be more tolerant of the invasion of woody vegetation as long as a critical amount of grass and rush vegetation remains (Stevenson and Anderson 1994). Sea level rise also may lead to habitat loss for the Marian's marsh wren in Florida (Walton 2007). However, responses of most species, especially short-lived species, to future climate change are not understood well enough to predict impacts (Akcakaya et al. 2006). The current condition of salt marsh habitats in Florida is considered "poor and declining" (FWC 2005), but strict regulatory mechanisms and public ownership provide some protection. High tides destroyed up to 21 percent of marsh wren nests during a four-year study in Georgia (Kale 1965), where rice rats (*Oryzomys palustris*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), and mink (*Mustela vison*) depredated up to 81 percent of nests. Nests sites also may be usurped by rice rats (Stevenson and Anderson 1994).

**Statewide Population Assessment** – The IUCN developed criteria for the evaluation of extinction risk for any taxon, with the exception of micro-organisms (IUCN 2010). Each taxon must be assessed against all criteria, but if the taxon meets any of the criteria under a particular category it qualifies as threatened. IUCN criteria use the terms observed, estimated, projected, inferred, and suspected to refer to the quality of information used to assess the status of a species. The assessment criteria can be applied at a regional (Florida) level with a consideration of the status and impact of extra-regional populations (IUCN 2003). Findings from the BRG are included in the Biological Status Review Information table below.

In our review of the status of the Marian's marsh wren, the BRG made the following assumptions and conclusions:

- 1. Because the time estimated for 3 generations was <10 years, the IUCN criteria (2010) stipulation of 10 years was used in assessments.
- 2. The estimated number of mature individuals ranged from 2,000-3,000 pairs (Kale 1996).
- 3. The extent of occurrence was 566.0-701.0 km<sup>2</sup> based on the availability of salt marsh habitat within the range of the wren in Florida. Cox and Kautz (2000) estimated 372.7 km<sup>2</sup> of existing potential habitat for the subspecies in Florida.
- 4. Adult marsh wrens will disperse to locate suitable habitat (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). However, given the sparse distribution of Marian's marsh wrens in the Florida panhhandle a rescue effect from extra-regional populations in Alabama seems unlikely.
- 5. The condition of salt marsh habitat in Florida is considered to be "poor and declining" (FWC 2005).]

#### LISTING RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Marian's marsh wren be listed as a Threatened species because the subspecies meets criteria for listing as described in 68A-27.001(3) F.A.C.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW

To be added later.

#### Peer review #4 from Don Kroodsman

From: Don Kroodsma

To: Imperiled

Cc: Delany, Michael

Subject: RE: Worthington"s marsh wren Draft BSR Report

Date: Saturday, January 15, 2011 7:42:09 AM

Hi Caly, Mike:

Ah, that is the source of my confusion. Given my inattention to subspecies, I just read "marsh wren," and felt some redundancy in what was being sent to me, like, "why are they sending me this stuff twice?"

I just read the Marian's report that was, in fact, sent to me on the date you said. I don't have anything to add to the report, or any recommendation. These birds are hard to count, and their habitat isn't abundant, and declining if anything, so your best guess about these things is better than mine, to be sure.

best . . .Don

#### Peer review #5 from Craig Parenteau

From: Parenteau, Craig

To: Imperiled

Subject: Biological Status Reviews for Worthington's and Marian's Marsh Wrens

Date: Monday, January 10, 2011 6:27:51 PM

Dr. Haubold: I thank you for providing me with the opportunity to act as an independent peer reviewer

of Biological Status Reviews developed by FWC for marsh wrens. I offer the following comments.

#### Marian's Marsh Wren

I strongly support the conclusion of the Biological Review Group and FWC staff that the Marian's marsh wren also meets established criteria for listing and merits retention on the FWC list of threatened species. The limited extent of available habitat remaining, the vulnerability of that habitat to stochastic events such as the "No Name Storm" of the 1990s, and the generally declining quality of Big Bend tidal marshes due to recent population influx are all causes for concern. Unfortunately, ecologists have not yet studied this wren sufficiently to predict how resilient its populations might be to relatively rapid changes in its preferred environment. Additional research into Marian's marsh wren ecology is needed, with point count surveys every five years representing the minimum effort recommended.

Thanks again for the opportunity to comment.

Craig Parenteau
Environmental Specialist III
FDEP, Division of Recreation and Parks
Bureau of Parks District 2
4801 Camp Ranch Road
Gainesville, FL 32641-9299

#### Copy of the Marian's Marsh Wren BSR draft report that was sent out for peer review

# Biological Status Review for the Marian's Marsh Wren (Cistothorus palustris marianae)

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) directed staff to evaluate all species listed as Threatened or Species of Special Concern as of September 1, 2010. Public information on the status of the Marian's marsh wren was sought from September 17 to November 1, 2010. The three-member Biological Review Group met on November 3 – 4, 2010. Group members were Michael F. Delany (FWC lead), Katy NeSmith (Zoologist with the Florida Natural Areas Inventory), and Bill Pranty (Avian Ecologist Contractor). In accordance with rule 68A-27.0012 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the Biological Review Group (BRG) was charged with evaluating the biological status of the Marian's marsh wren using criteria included in definitions in 68A-27.001(3) and following the protocols in the *Guidelines for Application of the IUCN Red List Criteria at Regional Levels (Version 3.0)* and *Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria (Version 8.1)*. Please visit <a href="http://myfwc.com/WILDLIFEHABITATS/imperiledSpp\_listingprocess.htm">http://myfwc.com/WILDLIFEHABITATS/imperiledSpp\_listingprocess.htm</a> to view the listing process rule and the criteria found in the definitions.

The Biological Review Group concluded from the biological assessment that the Marian's marsh wren met criteria for listing and recommend listing the species as state threatened.

This work was supported by a Conserve Wildlife Tag grant from the Wildlife Foundation of Florida.

#### **BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

**Life History References** – Wheeler (1931), Welter (1935), Kale (1965, 1975), Barclay and Leonard (1985), Stevenson and Anderson (1994), Kroodsma and Verner (1997).

**Taxonomic Classification** – Marsh wrens (*Cistothorus palustris*) are in the Order Passeriformes assigned to the Family Troglodytidae (Wrens). About 14 subspecies are recognized. Subspecies designation is complex, being based on plumage, wing length, and geographic lines. Two distinct evolutionary groups, eastern and western, may warrant species status (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). Two subspecies, the Marian's marsh wren (*C. p. marianae*) and the Worthington's marsh wren (*C. p. griseus*), breed in Florida. The Marian's marsh wren was first described in 1888 (Scott 1888) as being darker with more olive color than brown, and having more barring on its plumage than other marsh wrens. Wheeler (1931) describes the taxonomic history and early distribution of marsh wrens in the Southeast.

**Population Status and Trend** – Difficulty in conducting surveys in relatively inaccessible salt marsh has limited monitoring, and historic information on abundance is sparse. Kale (1996) estimated 2,000-3,000 breeding pairs of Marian's marsh wrens between Port Richey and Apalachee Bay. Distribution is sparse and little is known about the abundance of wrens west of Apalachee Bay. More recently, Marian's marsh wrens (5-18, range of averages from 3 repeated measures) were detected at each of 5 transects between Dixie County (29.71365 -83.49502) and Franklin County (29.68065, -85.08717) in 2010 (FWC, unpublished data). The FWC list of species of greatest conservation need (FWC 2005) ranks the abundance status of the Marian's marsh wren as "low" with an "unknown" population trend. Although results are based on only 7 routes and may be imprecise for trend estimates, information from the North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS 2010) indicate a -9.5 percent annual decline in the abundance of marsh wrens in the Southeastern coastal plain from 1966-2006. Marian's marsh wrens are "abundant" in the coastal marshes of Alabama (Stevenson 1978). The Florida Natural Areas Inventory ranks the Marian's marsh wren as rare and restricted in distribution globally and in Florida (G5T3/S3). The International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN 2009) ranks the global status of marsh wrens as a species of Least Concern. An array of point count stations (see Ralph et al. 1995) should be established within the range of the Marian's marsh wren and surveys conducted at 5-year intervals to monitor trends in abundance.

Geographic Range and Distribution – Marsh wrens breed in brackish and freshwater marshes of North America from the western and northern continental United States and southern Canada; along the Atlantic coast from Delaware to northern Florida; and along the Gulf coast from mid-peninsula Florida to southern Texas and into Mexico (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). The Marian's marsh wren breeds along the Gulf coast of Florida from Port Richey (Pasco County) to Escambia Bay (Santa Rosa County), and west into southwest Alabama (Stevenson and Anderson 1994, Kale 1996). Distribution is sparse along the Florida panhandle west of Apalachee Bay (Wakulla County). Kroodsma and Verner (1997) considered C. p. thryophilus synonymous with C. p. marianae, extending the range of the Marian's marsh wren to southeastern Texas. Several northern subspecies (C. p. palustris, C. p. dissaeptus, C. p. waynei, and C. p. iliacus) winter in Florida (Kale 1965, Stevenson and Anderson 1994, but see Phillips 1986). Marian's marsh wrens inhabit tidal marshes dominated by cordgrass (Spartina alterniflora) and black needle rush (Juncus roemerianus) and nest in taller vegetation along tidal creeks. Florida land cover information (Water Management Districts, photography dates 1999-2008) indicates 566.0-701.0 km<sup>2</sup> of salt marsh habitat within the range of the Marian's marsh wren. Cox and Kautz (2000) estimated 372.7 km<sup>2</sup> of existing potential habitat for the subspecies in Florida. The Florida Breeding Bird Atlas (FWC 2003, 1986-1991) documented confirmed breeding in 25 atlas blocks within the wren's current range. The subspecies is resident at breeding locations and is considered non-migratory. The range of the Marian's marsh wren extends into Alabama, with Florida constituting >80 percent of the subspecie's range.

**Quantitative Analyses** – A population viability analysis has not been conducted on the Florida population of the Marian's marsh wren.

#### BIOLOGICAL STATUS ASSESSMENT

Threats – The narrow coastal range of the Marian's marsh wren makes it vulnerable to habitat loss and fragmentation due to dredging and filling in conjunction with coastal development, impoundments for mosquito control and waterfowl, flooding from severe storms and hydrological changes, sea level rise, chemical and oil spills, and disposal of dredged material (Montague and Wiegert 1990, FWC 2005). Development of adjacent uplands also may contribute to habitat degredation. The vulnerability of coastal song birds is exemplified by the rapid decline and extinction of the dusky seaside sparrow (Ammodramus maritimus nigrescens) Delany et al. (1981). Climate change is a potential threat at the southern extent of its range where salt marsh habitat may be lost to the invasion of mangroves as the climate warms. However, compared to C. p. griseus this subspecies appears to be more tolerant of the invasion of woody vegetation as long as a critical amount of grass and rush vegetation remains (Stevenson and Anderson 1994). Sea level rise also may lead to habitat loss for the Marian's marsh wren in Florida (Walton 2007). However, responses of most species, especially short-lived species, to future climate change are not understood well enough to predict impacts (Akcakaya et al. 2006). The current condition of salt marsh habitats in Florida is considered "poor and declining" (FWC 2005), but strict regulatory mechanisms and public ownership provide some protection. High tides destroyed up to 21 percent of marsh wren nests during a four-year study in Georgia (Kale 1965), where rice rats (*Oryzomys palustris*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), and mink (*Mustela vison*) depredated up to 81 percent of nests. Nests sites also may be usurped by rice rats (Stevenson and Anderson 1994).

**Statewide Population Assessment** – The IUCN developed criteria for the evaluation of extinction risk for any taxon, with the exception of micro-organisms (IUCN 2010). Each taxon must be assessed against all criteria, but if the taxon meets any of the criteria under a particular category it qualifies as threatened. IUCN criteria use the terms observed, estimated, projected, inferred, and suspected to refer to the quality of information used to assess the status of a species. The assessment criteria can be applied at a regional (Florida) level with a consideration of the status and impact of extra-regional populations (IUCN 2003). Findings from the BRG are included in the Biological Status Review Information table below.

In our review of the status of the Marian's marsh wren, the BRG made the following assumptions and conclusions:

- 1. Because the time estimated for 3 generations was <10 years, the IUCN criteria (2010) stipulation of 10 years was used in assessments.
- 2. The estimated number of mature individuals ranged from 2,000-3,000 pairs (Kale 1996).
- 3. The extent of occurrence was 566.0-701.0 km<sup>2</sup> based on the availability of salt marsh habitat within the range of the wren in Florida. Cox and Kautz (2000) estimated 372.7 km<sup>2</sup> of existing potential habitat for the subspecies in Florida.
- 4. Adult marsh wrens will disperse to locate suitable habitat (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). However, given the sparse distribution of Marian's marsh wrens in the Florida panhhandle a rescue effect from extra-regional populations in Alabama seems unlikely.

5. The condition of salt marsh habitat in Florida is considered to be "poor and declining" (FWC 2005).

#### LISTING RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Marian's marsh wren be listed as a Threatened species because the subspecies meets criteria for listing as described in 68A-27.001(3) F.A.C.

### SUMMARY OF THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW

To be added later.



#### LITERATURE CITED

- Akcakaya, H. R., S. H. M. Butchart, G. M. Mace, S. N. Stuart, and C. Hilton-Taylor. 2006. Use and misuse of the IUCN Red List criteria in projecting climate change impacts on biodiversity. Global Change Biology 12:2037-2043.
- Barclay, R. M., and M. L. Leonard. 1985. Nocturnal singing by marsh wrens. Condor 87:418-422.
- Breeding Bird Survey Summary and Analysis, version 98.1. 2010. North American Breeding Bird Survey Trend Results, Marsh wren (*Cistothorus palustris*) <a href="http://www.mbr-pwrc.usgs.gov/cgi-bin/atlasa99.pl?07250&1&07">http://www.mbr-pwrc.usgs.gov/cgi-bin/atlasa99.pl?07250&1&07</a>
- Cox, J. A., and R. S. Kautz. 2000. Habitat conservation needs of rare and imperiled wildlife in Florida. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Tallahassee, FL.
- Delany, M. F., W. Leenhouts, B. Sauselein, and H. W. Kale II. 1981. The 1980 dusky seaside sparrow survey. Florida Field Naturalist 9:64-67.
- FWC. 2003. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. Florida's breeding bird atlas: A collaborative study of Florida's birdlife. <a href="http://www.myfwc.com/bba/">http://www.myfwc.com/bba/</a> (Accessed 10/12/2010).
- FWC. 2005. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. Florida's Wildlife Legacy Initiative Action Plan. <a href="http://myfwc.com/does/WildlifeHabitats/Legacy\_SGCN.pdf">http://myfwc.com/does/WildlifeHabitats/Legacy\_SGCN.pdf</a> (Accessed 10/25/2010).
- IUCN. 2003. Guidelines for Application of IUCN Red List Criteria at Regional Levels: Version 3.0. IUCN Species Survival Commission. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. ii + 26 pp.
- IUCN. 2009. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. <a href="http://www.iucnredlist.org/apps/redlist/details/149696/0">http://www.iucnredlist.org/apps/redlist/details/149696/0</a> Accessed 10/12/2010).
- IUCN. 2010. IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 8.1. Prepared by the Standards and petitions Subcommittee in March 2010. Downloadable from <a href="http://intranet.iucn.org/webfiles/doc/SSC/RedListGuidelines.pdf">http://intranet.iucn.org/webfiles/doc/SSC/RedListGuidelines.pdf</a>.
- Kale, H. W., II. 1965. Ecology and bioenergetics of the Long-billed Marsh Wren *Telmatodytes palustris griseus* (Brewster) in Georgia salt marshes. Publ. Nuttall Ornithol. Club, no. 5.
- Kale, H. W., II. 1975. Extension of winter range of *Telmatodytes palustris waynei* to Georgia and Florida. Auk 92:806-807.
- Kale, H. W., II. 1996. Marsh wrens. Pgs. 602-607, *In J.* A. Rodgers, Jr., H. W. Kale II, and H. T. Smith (Eds.), Rare and endangered biota of Florida. Vol. 5, Birds. University Press of Florida, Gainesville. 688 pp.
- Kroodsma, D. E., and J. Verner. 1997. Marsh wren (*Cistothorus palustris*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 308 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, PA, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.
- Montague, C. L., and R. G. Wiegert. 1990. Salt Marshes, Pgs. 481-516 *In* R. L. Myers and J. J. Ewel (Eds.), Ecosystems of Florida. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando.
- Phillips, A. R. 1986. The known birds of North and Middle America, Part I. R. Phillips, Denver, CO.
- Ralph, C. J., S. Droege, and J. R. Sauer. 1995. Managing and monitoring birds using point counts: standards and applications. Pages 161-168 *In*, C. J. Ralph, J. R. Sauer, and S. Droege, technical editors. Monitoring bird populations by point counts. General

- technical report PSW-GTR-149, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Dept. Agric.
- Scott, W. E. D. 1888. Supplementary notes from the Gulf coast of Florida, with a description of a new species of marsh wren. Auk 5:183-188.
- Stevenson, H. M. 1978. Marian's marsh wren. Pgs. 102-103, *In* H. W. Kale II (Ed.), Rare and endangered biota of Florida. Vol. 2, Birds. University Presses of Florida, Gainesville. 121 pp.
- Stevenson, H. M., and B. H. Anderson. 1994. The Birdlife of Florida. University Press of Florida. Gainesville, FL.
- Walton, T. L., Jr. 2007. Projected sea level rise in Florida. Ocean Engineering 34:1832-1840.
- Welter, W. A. 1935. The natural history of the Long-billed Marsh Wren. Wilson Bulletin 48: 256-269.
- Wheeler, H. E. 1931. The status, breeding range, and habits of Marian's marsh wren. Wilson Bulletin 38:247-267.



		Mariania M	arch Mran	
	Species/taxon: Marian's Marsh Wren			
Biological Status Review Information	Date:	11/04/10		
Findings	Assessors:	Michael Delany, Katy NeSmith, and Bill Pranty		eSmith, and Bill Pranty
	Generation length:	Estimated <	3 years; IU	CN 10-year period was used
Criterion/Listing Measure	Data/Information	Data Type*	Criterion Met?	References
*Data Types - observed (O), estimated (E), infer	red (I), suspected (S), or projected (P)		net - yes (Y)	or no (N).
(A) Population Size Reduction, ANY of				
(a)1. An observed, estimated, inferred or suspected population size	not available			
reduction of at least 50% over the last 10 years or 3 generations,				
whichever is longer, where the causes of the reduction are clearly				
reversible and understood and ceased <sup>1</sup> (a)2. An observed, estimated, inferred or suspected population size	not available			
reduction of at least 30% over the last 10 years or 3 generations,	not available			
whichever is longer, where the reduction or its causes may not have				
ceased or may not be understood or may not be reversible <sup>1</sup>				
(a)3. A population size reduction of at least 30% projected or suspected	not available			
to be met within the next 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer				
(up to a maximum of 100 years) <sup>1</sup> (a)4. An observed, estimated, inferred, projected or suspected	not available			
population size reduction of at least 30% over any 10 year or 3	not available			
generation period, whichever is longer (up to a maximum of 100 years				
in the future), where the time period must include both the past and the				
future, and where the reduction or its causes may not have ceased or may not be understood or may not be reversible. <sup>1</sup>				
•				
based on (and specifying) any of the following: (a) direct observation; (b) occurrence and/or quality of habitat; (d) actual or potential levels of exploration.				
parasites.	oftation, (e) the effects of introduced t	axa, iiyoiidiza	mon, pamoge	ens, pondiants, competitors of
(B) Geographic Range, EITHER				
(b)1. Extent of occurrence $< 20,000 \text{ km}^2 (7,722 \text{ mi}^2) \text{ OR}$	566.0-701.0 km <sup>2</sup> of salt marsh	Е	Y	Northwest, Suwannee, and
	within range.			Southwest Florida Water
				Management Districts, photography dates 1999-2008
				photography dates 1999-2006

(b)2. Area of occupancy < 2,000 km <sup>2</sup> (772 mi <sup>2</sup> )				
AND at least 2 of the following:				
a. Severely fragmented or exist in ≤ 10 locations	Exists in <10 locations that are threatened by single events such as a hurricane or oil/chemical spill.	I	Y	FWC (2003)
b. Continuing decline, observed, inferred or projected in any of the following: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) area, extent, and/or quality of habitat; (iv) number of locations or subpopulations; (v) number of mature individuals	(iii) Current condition of salt marsh in Florida is poor and declining	I	Y	FWC (2005)
c. Extreme fluctuations in any of the following: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) number of locations or subpopulations; (iv) number of mature individuals	unknown			
(C) Population Size and Trend				
Population size estimate to number fewer than 10,000 mature individuals AND EITHER	2000-3000 pairs	Е	Y	Kale (1996)
(c)1. An estimated continuing decline of at least 10% in 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer (up to a maximum of 100 years in the future) OR	not available			
(c)2. A continuing decline, observed, projected, or inferred in numbers of mature individuals AND at least one of the following:	not available			
a. Population structure in the form of EITHER     (i) No subpopulation estimated to contain more than 1000 mature individuals; OR	"Marsh wrens at Cedar Key probably number more than 1000 individuals"	Е	N	M. V. McDonald pers. comm., 3 Nov 2010
(ii) All mature individuals are in one subpopulation	not available			
b. Extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals	not available			
(D) Population Very Small or Restricted, EITHER			·	
(d)1. Population estimated to number fewer than 1,000 mature individuals; OR	Marsh wrens in the Cedar Key area probably number more than 1000 individuals	Е	N	M. V. McDonald pers. comm., 3 Nov 2010

(d)2. Population with a very restricted area of occupancy (typically less than 20 km² [8 mi²]) or number of locations (typically 5 or fewer) such that it is prone to the effects of human activities or stochastic events within a short time period in an uncertain future	Exists in <10 locations that are prone to the effects of human activities or stochastic events within a short time period in an uncertain future	Ι	Y	FWC (2003)
(E) Quantitative Analyses				
e1. Showing the probability of extinction in the wild is at least 10% within 100 years	not available			
Initial Finding (Meets at least one of the criteria OR Does not meet any of the criteria)	Reason (which criteria are met)			
Meets at least one of the criteria	B1ab(iii); D2			
Is species/taxon endemic to Florida? (Y/N)	N	Ì		
If Yes, your initial finding is your final finding. Copy the initial finding and reason, complete the regional assessment sheet and copy the final finding from that sheet and copy the final finding from the final final finding from the final final final finding from the final finding from the final final finding from the final final finding from the final finding from the final finding from the final final finding from the final finding from the final finding from the final final final final finding from the final final final final finding from the final fina				
Final Finding (Meets at least one of the criteria OR Does not meet any of the criteria)	Reason (which criteria are met)			
No change from initial finding	B1ab(iii); D2	1		

1	Species/taxon:	Marian's Marsh Wren
2	Dielogical Status Daview Information  Date:	11/3-4/10
	Biological Status Review Information	Michael Delany, Katy Nesmith,
3	Regional Assessment Assessors:	Bill Pranty
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	Initial finding	
9		
10	2a. Is the species/taxon a non-breeding visitor? (Y/N/DK). If 2a is YES, go to line 18. If 2a is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 11.	N
11	2b. Does the Florida population experience any significant immigration of propagules capable of reproducing in Florida? (Y/N/DK). If 2b is YES, go to line 12. If 2b is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 17.	DK
12	2c. Is the immigration expected to decrease? (Y/N/DK). If 2c is YES or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 13. If 2c is NO go to line 16.	
13	2d. Is the regional population a sink? (Y/N/DK). If 2d is YES, go to line 14. If 2d is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 15.	
14	If 2d is YES - Upgrade from initial finding (more imperiled)	
15	If 2d is NO or DO NOT KNOW - No change from initial finding	
16	If 2c is NO or DO NOT KNOW- Downgrade from initial finding (less imperiled)	
17	If 2b is NO or DO NOT KNOW - No change from initial finding	
18	2e. Are the conditions outside Florida deteriorating? (Y/N/DK). If 2e is YES or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 24. If 2e is NO go to line 19.	
19	2f. Are the conditions within Florida deteriorating? (Y/N/DK). If 2f is YES or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 23. If 2f is NO, go to line 20.	
20	2g. Can the breeding population rescue the Florida population should it decline? (Y/N/DK). If 2g is YES, go to line 21. If 2g is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 22.	
21	If 2g is YES - Downgrade from initial finding (less imperiled)	
22	If 2g is NO or DO NOT KNOW - No change from initial finding	
23	If 2f is YES or DO NOT KNOW - No change from initial finding	
24	If 2e is YES or DO NOT KNOW - No change from initial finding	
25	22 to 125 of 20 110 1 III to 11 III to 11 III III III III III III III III III	
26	Final finding	No change from initial finding
20	Final finding	140 change from mitial finding

**Appendix 1**. Brief biographies of the members of the Marian's Marsh Wren Biological Review Group.

Michael F. Delany (M.S., Wildlife Ecology, University of Maryland Appalachian Laboratory) is an Associate Research Scientist with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC). He started work with the FWC in 1979 and is the Florida coordinator for the U.S. Geological Survey's Breeding Bird Survey and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's eastern painted bunting monitoring program. Mike is principal investigator for field studies of the endangered Florida grasshopper sparrow. Studies addressing management needs for grasshopper sparrows, dusky seaside sparrows, American alligators, and Northern bobwhite resulted in over 40 publications. He is a Certified Wildlife Biologist with the Wildlife Society.

**Katy NeSmith** (M.S., Biological Science, Florida State University) is a zoologist with the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). Katy is responsible for collecting and processing rare animal occurrence data, concentrating on birds; conducting field surveys for rare animals (past surveys include seaside sparrow, marsh wren, limpkin, Florida scrub-jay, red-cockaded woodpecker, and gopher tortoise); and identifying, evaluating, and describing high priority natural areas in Florida. She has worked on county inventories and has been involved in several current and historic natural community mapping projects.

**Bill Pranty** is an avian ecologist who has studied Florida Scrub-Jays, Florida Grasshopper Sparrows, and Painted Buntings for the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission and Archbold Biological Station. He compiles bird observations for the Florida Ornithological Society, and edits the Christmas Bird Counts in Florida for National Audubon. He is keenly interested in documenting Florida's avifauna, with an emphasis on rare and exotic species. Bill is the author of *A Birder's Guide to Florida* (American Birding Association 1996 and 2005), and co-author of *Birds of Florida* (Lone Pine Press 2006).

**Appendix 2**. Summary of letters and emails received during the solicitation of information from the public period of September 17, 2010 through November 1, 2010.

No information about this species was received during the public information request period.



Appendix 3. Information and Comments Received from Independent Reviewers.

